Redditch Borough Employment Land Review Update 2011

Introduction

The Employment Land Review was adopted in March 2009. An Update Report was completed in June 2010. This document represents the 2011 annual update to the Employment Land Review (ELR) to present a clear picture of the current situation with regard to Employment Land demand, need and supply in Redditch Borough. The Employment Land Review has been for reviewed at this point for a number of reasons which are detailed further below.

Due to its technical nature as part of the update it has been necessary to employ consultants to update parts of the review. GVA were commissioned to complete Stage 2 of the original 2009 ELR and therefore they have completed some of the updates necessary for this review.

The need for an update

National Changes

Localism Act – (Enacted 15th November 2011)

The National Planning system is going through a time of change. The Government have proposed to abolish Regional Spatial Strategies through the Localism Act which removes the need for the emerging Local Plan to be in conformity with a regionally proposed employment land target.

The Localism Bill was introduced to Parliament in December 2010. The intention of the Act is to shift power from Central Government back into the hands of individuals, communities and councils.

In order to achieve this, the Coalition Government have proposed to remove several instruments of top-down control. With regard to regional strategies the Localism Act will abolish regional targets in favour of democratic local decision making.

The Government have signalled through the Localism Act their intention to abolish these documents; however the employment target for Redditch in the West Midlands Regional Spatial Strategy (WMRSS) Phase Two Revision Panel Report (September 2009) is still a material consideration, subsequently

this document recommended an indicative long term requirement of 68ha¹ of employment land in the Borough and a rolling five year reservoir of 17ha².

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The Government have consulted on a draft National Planning Policy Framework; this framework is likely to require Redditch Borough Council to change its approach to employment land provision.

The Draft NPPF demonstrates the Governments commitment to supporting economic development. The NPPF sets out a number of requirements for Local Authorities to consider when preparing a Local Plan (which is signalled to replace Core Strategy's) with regard to employment land. However at the time of writing the NPPF is still a consultation document, the final document could be significantly different to the consultation document and therefore although the objectives of the NPPF have been considered when preparing this update, this has been done in the knowledge the final document may be different.

The Draft NPPF requests that Local Authorities consider the following issues in relation to economic development and employment provision in their areas (see page 9 of the Draft NPPF), these are to:

 Understand business needs within the economic markets operating in and across their area;

This was completed in Stage 1 of the original ELR in 2009.

 Work together with county and neighbouring authorities and within the local enterprise partnerships to understand both existing business needs and likely changes in the market;

This will be facilitated by Redditch's membership of two Local Enterprise Partnerships.

Existing business needs were considered through stage 1 of the ELR. Whilst predicting likely changes in market will be updated through this review.

² The Panel report stated that "Of which 8ha will be provided within Stratford-on-Avon District west of the A435". It is assumed that this related to the first rolling reservoir period. To date Government Office for the West Midlands has yet to confirm whether or not this is the case.

¹ Of which at least 12 ha will be provided within Stratford-on-Avon District west of the A435 and the balance remaining out of a total of up to 37 ha will be provided in Bromsgrove District at a location or locations to be agreed in the Core Strategies for Redditch and Bromsgrove Districts.

 Work closely with the business community to understand their changing needs and identify and address barriers to investment;

This was completed through Stage 1 of the ELR and will also be aided through the work of the Local Enterprise Partnerships.

 Use the evidence base to assess the requirements for land or floorspace for economic development; and

This will be assessed through this update.

• Use the evidence base to assess the existing and future supply of land available for economic development.

This will be completed as part of this update.

Change of Use Consultation

It is important to note that Central Government in this update period issued a consultation to extend the permitted development rights to allow B1 (Business) to change to C3 (dwelling houses) as part of its permitted development rights. This may potentially have implications of the future supply of land available for economic development within the Borough. As this emerging policy is not yet adopted its implications have not been fully assessed in this review, however the impacts of this policy on the employment land available in Redditch will need to be considered fully at the next review.

Local Changes

There is a need to re-assess the sites that currently form the employment land portfolio for Redditch to identify whether or not they are still suitable for employment purposes. It is also important to consider the completions and loses to Redditch's employment stock to have an understanding of the current situation within the Borough.

It is also considered necessary to assess sites which were not assessed in the original Employment Land Review to identify whether or not they are suitable for employment purposes.

This update to the Employment Land Review seeks to take account of the changing circumstances outlined above. As a result, this update recommends

a portfolio of local employment sites to meet local and strategic planning objectives for the Borough.

The role of the ELR update is to provide a robust evidence base on which to guide the policies in the emerging plan. This document informs the economic objectives of the plan and the subsequent policies contained within the plan, which set out how Redditch should be over the next 15 years.

It is the intention of this document to provide a complete situation with regard to demand, need and supply of the employment land in Redditch at the time of writing in order to inform the emerging plan.

The next ELR update is likely to re-assess the sites forming the portfolio of employment sites in line with its reconsideration of RSS development targets and housing land supply issues (related to the Strategic Housing Market Assessment due in the next ELR review period).

Updates to the Employment Land Review

The following updates that have been completed as part of this review.

Stage 1 'Taking Stock of the Existing Situation'

Stage 1 of the ELR requires a thorough understanding about what is happening in Redditch; these are the following updates to stage 1.

Step 1 – Devise brief for Stage 1

This has not been updated as part of this review as it is not required.

Step 2 – Collate Data on land stock and revealed demand

This has not been updated as this information can be found in Employment Land Review Stage 1 (2009).

Step 3 – Devise and apply Site appraisal criteria

This has not been updated as part of this review. The original appraisal criteria can be found in Stage 1 of the Employment Land Review. The site appraisal criterion was updated in the 2010 update following changes to Planning Policy Statement 4 (please see ELR 2010 Update for information on how the site appraisal criteria was updated).

It should be noted that the Government have recently consulted on a Draft National Planning Policy Framework which may have implications for how potential employment sites should be assessed. As this emerging policy is not yet adopted its implications have not been fully assessed in this review, this will need to be considered fully at the next review to consider the impacts of this policy on the site assessments of employment land available in Redditch.

Step 4 - Undertake Preliminary site appraisal

The Borough Councils Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) is completed each year. It is important to assess any site that may have been submitted to the SHLAA which was deemed unsuitable for housing to be assessed for its potential as and employment site. There are no sites that were considered suitable to assess for employment potential as a result of the SHLAA process. This could be updated in the next ELR.

Based on a preliminary analysis there is one site that is considered suitable to take forward for a full analysis. This is Edward Street located in the Town Centre.

Step 5 – Confirming the brief for Stage 2 and 3

Complete.

Stage 2 'Creating a Picture of Future Requirements'

Step 6 – Understand market areas and segments

This step seeks to set out the nature of the property market in terms of geography and market segments within Redditch Borough.

It is considered that the most appropriate boundaries for the analysis of demand and supply, for practicality purposes are the Borough boundaries.

In addition there are particular characteristics of Redditch which make it unique. Redditch has specific designated employment areas, based on the principles of New Town design and subsequent Masterplan. The Masterplan designated industrial land to accommodate the new industries moving into Redditch. There are four distinct employment areas within Redditch they are Enfield, Park Farm, Washford and Moons Moat. These sites are considered to be general industrial/ business areas and are the predominant areas that are available for employment development.

Step 7 – Select and apply suitable forecast model/ demand analysis

Consultants GVA were employed to complete Step 7 of this review. A six stage approach has been used by the consultants to adapt the model used in the 2009 report, to ensure consistency with previous work. Wherever possible and appropriate the assumptions made within the model have remained constant. Where any assumptions have been changed, this is clearly indicated. The six stages of work are set out below.

Step 7: Stage 1 – Source up to date economic/employment projections

The updated baseline employment projections utilised the Cambridge Econometrics (CE) Local Economy Forecasting Model (LEFM), which provided Gross Value Added (GrVA) and employment projections across the same 41 industrial sectors. The projections are consistent with the latest CE forecasts published in the 'Economic Prospects for the Nations and Regions of the UK' report in February 2011 and include historic data from 1981 to 2008 with projections from 2009 to 2031 for Redditch Borough. As in the previous study, it is important to note that these projections represent the results of model-based analysis, focusing on the future performance of the existing industrial structure in Redditch, and have not been refined in light of any qualitative information, legislative changes or other 'soft' information.

Step 7: Stage 2 – Re-base Employment Growth Assumptions

The employment scenarios developed for the 2009 report were based on 2006 employment data, which was the most up to date ABI data available at the time. Currently, the latest available ABI data is for 2008 and all new scenarios have been developed using 2008 ABI data. These updated scenarios therefore include historical employment data up to 2008 with

projections from 2009 to 2031. It is therefore important that care is taken in making comparisons between the figures in this report and the 2009 report.

Step 7: Stage 3 – Create an Updated Baseline Scenario

The baseline scenario has been updated with the new employment projections produced by the CE LEFM model. The LEFM growth rates have been applied to the latest ABI data to generate baseline employment projections to 2031.

Step 7: Stage 4 – Create an Updated Past Trends Scenario

The 'Past Trends' Scenario in the 2009 report was based on an analysis of recent past economic trends to set overall employment growth targets for 2026. It was based on past employment trends only and did not take account of housing or local economic development policies. The past trends scenario projected by far the strongest employment growth of all scenarios included in the 2009 report, even after diluting its effect by applying a 'three-quarter' trend, rather than a full trend (i.e. assuming employment would continue to grow at three quarters of the average annual growth rate over the period 1998 to 2006).

However, the recent economic recession has had a significant impact on employment levels in Redditch, and the latest ABI data shows that employment in Redditch in 2008 was lower than at any other time in the last ten years (i.e. the full period of ABI data from 1998 to 2008). Therefore updating the previous past trends scenario (using trends from 1998 to 2008) now projects a slight decline in employment in Redditch over the period 2008 to 2031. Although further declines in employment are likely in the short term, it is highly unlikely that employment will continue to decline to 2031. This past trends scenario is therefore likely to be overly biased by the recent recession and employment declines and employment growth should return positive a long time before 2031.

As a result of the significant change in employment levels since the 2009 report, and the strong influence of the recent downturn on long term employment projections to 2031, a number of alternative 'past trend' scenarios have also been included for comparison. These alternative scenarios are described below:

- A 'full trend' scenario updating the previous past trends scenario by calculating the average annual growth rate over the period 1998 to 2008 and applying this to project total employment in 2031. This scenario projects a decline in total employment of -0.1% per annum between 2008 and 2031.
- A 'no growth' scenario assuming that total employment continues to decline in the short term, before returning to 2008 levels by 2031, and thereby achieving zero growth between 2008 and 2031.

- A 'return to pre-recession employment' scenario assuming slow growth in total employment, accelerating over the period, to return to 2006 (pre-recession) employment levels by 2031. This scenario projects average employment growth of 0.6% per annum between 2008 and 2031.
- A 'pre-recession' trend scenario excluding the lower employment in 2007 and 2008 to calculate a trend from 1998 to 2006 (the same period as in the 2009 report) and applying this to the lower employment level in 2008. This scenario assumes that total employment in Redditch will grow at pre-recession growth rates from the period 2008 to 2031 and projects by far the strongest average growth of all of scenarios of 1.7% per annum.

As in the 2009 report, it is unreliable to project employment in each individual sector in Redditch based upon past trends because large fluctuations in smaller sectors at Borough level can predict irrationally high positive or negative growth rates and volatile changes in employment in some sectors. Therefore, the past trend scenarios are again based on an analysis of average annual trends, using a combination of percentage and absolute changes, over the period 1998 to 2008.

Therefore, for each sector with an unrealistic, unsustainable or excessively negative rate of projected employment growth, the average annual percentage growth rate from 1998 to 2008 has been replaced with a more appropriate growth rate, based on either:

- Quarter, half or three-quarter trend of the average annual percentage growth rate, or:
- Quarter, half, three-quarter or full trend of the average annual absolute change.

The one exception to the above is the research and development sector, where minor changes in the relatively small number of employees can have very significant impacts on employment estimates by 2031. For this sector, a full trend has been calculated over the period 1999 to 2008 (excluding 1998) and applied to generate projections to 2031 under all past trends scenarios.

The 'selected' rates applied to each sector differ across the different past trends scenarios described above and were selected and applied such that total employment in Redditch achieves the specific aim of each scenario (i.e. declines at the 'full trend' rate calculated from 1998 to 2008; achieves 'no growth' from 2008 to 2031; returns to 2006 – pre-recession – levels by 2031; or, grows at the pre-recession growth rate calculated from 1998 to 2006). The chosen methodology for each relevant sector and each 'past trends' scenario is shown in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 – Selection of Appropriate Past Trends Projections for Relevant Sectors

| Industry | Past Trends Scenario 1 - 'Full Trend' | Past Trends Scenario 2 - 'No Growth' | Past Trends Scenario 3 - 'Return to 2006 Pre-Recession Employment' | Past Irends Scenario 4 - 'Pre-Recession Irend' |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| 01: Agriculture/hunting, etc. | 1/4 absolute | ½ absolute | Full at | osolute |
| 14: Other mining & quarry | | Full ab | solute | |
| 15: Manf - food & beverages | 1/2 at | osolute | 1/2 % (| growth |
| 17: Manf - textiles | | 14 % g | rowth | |
| 21: Manf - pulp & paper products | | 14 % g | rowth | |
| 22: Publishing & printing | 34% growth | ½ % growth | 1/4 % (| growth |
| 25: Manf - rubber & plastic | 1/2 % | growth | V4 % (| growth |
| 27: Manf - basic metals | % % | growth | 14 % (| growth |
| 28: Manf - fabricated metal | 34% growth | ½ ab | solute | ½ % growth |
| 29: Manf - other machinery | 34% growth | ½ % growth | 1/4% (| growth |
| 34: Manf - motor vehicles, trailers | ** | 14 % g | rowth | - |
| 35: Manf - transport equipment | ¼ absolute | 1/2 absolute | 34 % (| growth |
| 36: Manufacturing n.e.c. | ¼ absolute | 1/2 absolute | Full absolute | %% growth |
| 45: Construction | 1/4% growth | ½ % growth | | |
| 50: Sale & repair of vehicles & fuel | 121 | ½ % growth | 534 | 1/4 % growth |
| 51: Wholesale trade | 14% | growth | | <u>.</u> |
| 52: Retail trade | ¼ absolute | 14% growth | Full absolute | |
| 55: Hotels & restaurants | ¼ absolute | 1/4 % growth | Full absolute | |
| 63: Supporting transport activities | 1/4 al | osolute | ½ absolute | ¼% growth |
| 64: Post & telecommunications | | | 14 % (| growth |
| 65: Financial intermediation | 14 al | osolute | 14% (| growth |
| 67: Auxiliary financial activities | ¼ absolute | 34% growth | | |
| 70: Real estate activities | | 40 | ¼ absolute | 1/4 % growth |
| 72: Computer & related activities | | | 1/4 ab | solute |
| 73: Research & development | Full 9 | 6 growth (1999 to 20 | 008) – i.e. excludin | g 1998 |
| 74: Other business activities | | | 14 % 9 | growth |
| 80: Education | 1/4 al | osolute | 14% growth | 34% growth |
| 85: Health & social work | 14 al | osolute | 1/4 % growth | %% growth |
| 91: Activities of membership orgs | 1/4 al | osolute | | |
| 92: Recreation, culture & sport activ. | ¼ al | osolute | ½ absolute | ½% growth |
| 93: Other service activities | 1/4 al | osolute | 1/4 % growth | |

Source: GHK analysis, 2011

Step 7: Stage 5 - Policy-On (Housing-Based) Scenarios:

The latest Redditch Borough Council housing targets described above provide a range of possible scenarios in terms of the number of new dwellings expected to be constructed in Redditch Borough between 2006 and 2031. Since 2008 is the latest available ABI employment data, it is also important to take account of any dwellings constructed between 2006 and 2008 to avoid double-counting dwellings within the projections.

Housing completions data is monitored and published by RBC and the 2010 'Housing Completions in Redditch Borough on Large and Small Sites' report states that there were 454 housing completions in 2006/07 and 236 in 2007/08, totalling 690 completions between April 2006 and April 2008. These dwellings must therefore be subtracted from the future housing targets to avoid double-counting these dwellings and enable employment requirements to be projected from 2008 to 2031. The three scenarios therefore assume:

- Scenario A-1 6,310 new dwellings between 2008 and 2031;
- Scenario A-2 3,310 new dwellings between 2008 and 2031; and
- Scenario A-3 2,510 new dwellings between 2008 and 2031.

The process for converting these housing targets into employment projections is unchanged from the 2009 report, although some of the assumptions have been updated where appropriate. This process has involved:

- Multiplying the projected numbers of additional houses by 2.4 persons per dwelling to provide estimates of the implied increase in population associated with each scenario by 2031;
- Using the latest available projections and estimates of the working age and economically active population in Redditch to estimate the number of additional people available for employment. The latest forecasts from Worcestershire County Council suggest that 55.3% of the Redditch population in 2031 is likely to be of working age (this has increased slightly from 53.7% at the time of the 2009 report). However, Worcestershire County Council was unable to provide updated projections of economic activity because of the increased levels of uncertainty caused by the recent economic situation. As an alternative, projections of economic activity have been based on the latest available data provided by the ONS Annual Population Survey (based on an average over the five year period from July 2005 to June 2010, which has been assumed for 2031). This suggests that 78.5% of the working age population will be economically active in 2031, which is slightly lower than the assumption of 83.8% provided by Worcestershire County Council for the 2009 report.
- Converting these additional economically active people into the additional number of jobs that will need to be generated in Redditch by 2031 in order to maintain the existing level of "Job Balance" of 86.9% (again based on 2001 Census data). This provides additional jobs targets of 5,710 for Scenario A-1, 2,995 for Scenario A-2 and 2,271 for Scenario A-3, as presented in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 – Conversion of RBC Housing Targets to Employment Growth

| | Scenario A-1 | Scenario A-2 | Scenario A-3 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Potential New Dwellings (2008-2031) | 6,310 | 3,310 | 2,510 |
| Implied Population Increase (2008-2031) | 15,144 | 7,944 | 6,024 |
| Implied Increase in Working Age Population (2008-2031) | | 4,393 | 3,331 |
| Implied Increase in Economically Active (2008-2031) | | 3,449 | 2,615 |
| Total Additional Jobs (2008-2031) | 5,710 | 2,995 | 2,271 |

Sources: RBC Housing Targets 2006-2031; RBC 2010 'Housing Completions in Redditch Borough on Large and Small Sites' report; Worcestershire County Council; 2001 Census data; and GHK analysis, 2011

The projected distribution of these additional jobs between sectors has remained consistent with the assumptions used in the 2009 report, as much as possible. For instance, the same 'dwellings-to-job' ratios have been applied to the same 'non basic' sectors such as education, health, retail, where the size of the sector is likely to be closely related to the number of dwellings.

As part of the work on the 2009 report, RBC Councillors identified a total of 11 'priority' sectors, which at the time, were expected to be targeted economic development policy sectors in the future and alternative growth assumptions were applied to these sectors to reflect.

In this report it has been assumed that the same sectors remain a priority for RBC in order to be consistent with the 2009 report, although the selected 'treatment' (applied growth assumptions) of these sectors has changed in line with the lower projections of future employment and housing growth within the Borough. Furthermore, several of the priority sectors were assumed to grow at the projected county growth rate in the 2009 report, and it was not possible to update the county projections as part of this study, so alternative 'treatments' have been applied to these sectors.

As in the 2009 report, some of these priority sectors are expected to perform better than the LEFM projections would suggest, while others are expected to reverse declining trends by 2031. The treatments applied to these priority sectors included:

- Applying a quarter, half, three-quarter or full trend of the average annual percentage growth rate calculated from ABI data between 1998 and 2008:
- Applying a quarter, half, three-quarter or full trend of the average annual absolute change calculated from ABI data between 1998 and 2008, and;
 - Keeping the LEFM forecast.

Table 2.3 shows the selected 'treatment' and projected average annual growth rate of each priority sector and compares this to the respective average annual LEFM growth rate.

Table 2.3 – Selection of Appropriate Projections for Priority Sectors

| | | Chosen Me | thodology | Redditch LEFM |
|---------------|---|--|---|---|
| Sector | Industry | Description | Average Annual % Growth (2008-2031) | Average Annual % Growth (2008-2031) |
| Manufacturing | 24 : Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products | Past Trend: Full % growth rate | 0.02% p.a. | -2.2% p.a. |
| Manufacturing | 29 : Manufacture of other machinery and equipment | Past Trend: ¼ % growth rate | -0.8% p.a. | -1.5% p.a. |
| Manufacturing | 31 : Manufacture of other electrical machinery and apparatus | Redditch LEFM Projections | 2.0% p.a. | 2.0% p.a. |
| Manufacturing | 33 : Manufacture of medical, precision and optical instruments | Redditch LEFM Projections | 2.0% p.a. | 2.0% p.a. |
| Manufacturing | 34 : Manufacture of motor vehicles | Redditch LEFM Projections | 1.1% p.a. | 1.1% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 64 : Post and telecommunications | Past Trend: ¼ % growth rate | -0.5% p.a. | -0.7% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 65 : Financial intermediation | Redditch LEFM Projections | -0.3% p.a. | -0.3% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 67 : Activities auxiliary to finance | Past Trend: Full absolute change | 2.8% p.a. | -0.3% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 72 : Computer and related activities | Redditch LEFM Projections | 0.3% p.a. | 0.3% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 73 : Research and development | Past Trend: Full % growth (1999 to 2008) excl 1998 | 22.0% p.a. | 2.2% p.a. |
| Basic Service | 74 : Other business activities | Redditch LEFM Projections | 0.9% p.a. | 0.9% p.a. |

Source: GHK analysis, 2011

The projections of all other 'non-priority' sectors are also consistent with the 2009 report, although the respective baseline LEFM projections have been applied to the latest 2008 ABI data in order to generate projections to 2031.

Although the same assumptions have been applied to each of the policy-on, housing-based scenarios, the employment projections vary according to the different number of new houses projected under each scenario.

Finally, each sector total has been adjusted either up or down in proportion to the distribution of total employment in 2008 in order to control the sectoral changes proportionately back to the respective jobs targets for 2031 under each scenario. This is also consistent with the previous approach as described in the 2009 report, with a straight line trend assumed for all sectors between 2008 and 2031.

Step 7: Stage 6 – Calculate Employment Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

The assumptions described above have been applied to generate employment projections at the 2 digit SIC level for each scenario over the period 2008 to 2031 and the 2031 figures are presented in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4 – Summary of Employment Projections based on Economic Scenarios

| | | | | | 20 | 31 | | | |
|---|----------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 Actual | Baseline | Past Trends Scenario 1 | Past Trends Scenario 2 | Past Trends Scenario 3 | Past Trends Scenario 4 | Policy-On (A-1) | Policy-On (A-2) | Policy On (A-3) |
| 01 : Agriculture/Hunting, etc. | 69 | 90 | 108 | 148 | 223 | 223 | 90 | 89 | 88 |
| 02 : Forestry, logging etc. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 05 : Fishing, fish farms etc. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 : Coal mining, peat extraction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 : Petroleum, Oil/Gas Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 : Other mining and quarry | 5 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 : Manf food and beverages | 417 | 284 | 226 | 226 | 285 | 285 | 287 | 276 | 273 |
| 16 : Manf tobacco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 : Manf textiles | 36 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 59 | 59 |
| 18 : Manf wearing apparel | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 : Tanning and dressing of leather | 16 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 20 : Manf wood products | 57 | 36 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 36 | 35 | 35 |
| 21 : Manf pulp and paper products | 54 | 34 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 34 | 33 | 33 |
| 22 : Publishing and Printing | 291 | 257 | 70 | 113 | 183 | 183 | 259 | 251 | 250 |
| 23 : Manf coke, petroleum products | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 : Manf chemicals and chemical products | 437 | 265 | 439 | 439 | 439 | 439 | 442 | 431 | 428 |
| 25 : Manf rubber and plastic products | 648 | 470 | 310 | 310 | 450 | 450 | 474 | 458 | 453 |
| 26 : Manf other non-metallic mineral products | 124 | 0 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 : Manf basic metals | 454 | 193 | 201 | 201 | 265 | 265 | 196 | 184 | 181 |
| 28 : Manf fabricated metal products | 3,087 | 2,548 | 1,913 | 1,953 | 1,953 | 2,241 | 2,567 | 2,490 | 2,489 |
| 29 : Manf other machinery | 883 | 628 | 498 | 604 | 731 | 731 | 736 | 714 | 708 |
| 30 : Manf office machinery and computers | 12 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 31 : Manf other electrical machinery | 1,161 | 1,814 | 953 | 953 | 953 | 953 | 1,821 | 1,792 | 1,784 |
| 32 : Manf communication equipment | 60 | 26 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 26 | 25 | 24 |
| 33 : Manf medical instruments | 837 | 995 | 493 | 493 | 493 | 493 | 999 | 983 | 979 |
| 34 : Manf motor vehicles, trailers | 396 110 | 504 | 219 142 | 219 174 | 219 379 | 219 | 506 29 | 496 26 | 494 25 |
| 35 : Manf transport equipment | | | | | | 2.2 | | | 414 |
| 36 : Manf furniture; manufacturing n.e.c. 37 : Recycling | 800 | 429 | 763 0 | 925 0 | 1,251 | 1,819 | 433 | 418 | 414 |
| 40 : Electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply | 10 | 10 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 41 : Collection, purification and distribution of water | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 : Construction | 1,248 | 1.528 | 1,318 | 1,390 | 1.551 | 1,551 | 1,590 | 1,414 | 1,367 |
| 50: Sale and repair of motor vehicles and fuel | 928 | 952 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 689 | 1,072 | 988 | 988 |
| 51 : Wholesale trade | 2.214 | 2.276 | 2.267 | 2.267 | 2.433 | 2.433 | 2,290 | 2.234 | 2.219 |
| 52 : Retail trade | 5,003 | 4,613 | 5,603 | 5,730 | 7,404 | 8,571 | 5,811 | 5,352 | 5,229 |
| 55 : Hotels and restaurants | 1,419 | 1,316 | 1,769 | 1,971 | 2,817 | 5,138 | 1,820 | 1,616 | 1,562 |
| 60 : Land transport | 429 | 473 | 518 | 518 | 516 | 518 | 478 | 485 | 462 |
| 61 : Water transport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 : Air transport | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 63 : Supporting transport activities/travel agencies | 575 | 634 | 870 | 870 | 1,185 | 2,312 | 638 | 623 | 819 |
| 64 : Post and telecommunications | 752 | 642 | 464 | 464 | 591 | 591 | 672 | 653 | 548 |
| 65 : Financial intermediation | 277 | 258 | 183 | 183 | 213 | 213 | 258 | 251 | 249 |
| 66 : Insurance and pension funding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 : Auxiliary financial activities | 172 | 159 | 211 | 405 | 535 | 535 | 327 | 323 | 322 |
| 70 : Real estate activities | 254 | 438 | 112 | 112 | 191 | 207 | 361 | 309 | 295 |
| 71: Renting of machinery and equipment | 144 | 247 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 248 | 244 | 243 |
| 72 : Computer and related activities | 525 | 585 | 348 | 348 | 486 | 468 | 568 | 555 | 551 |
| 73 : Research and development | 6 | 10 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 585 | 584 | 584 |
| 74: Other business activities | 4,243 | 5,249 | 3,716 | 3,716 | 4,105 | 4,105 | 5,275 | 5,168 | 5,140 |
| 75: Public administration and defence | 1,225 | 1,185 | 915 | 915 | 915 | 915 | 1,659 | 1,446 | 1,389 |
| 80 : Education | 2,963 | 3,427 | 3,484 | 3,484 | 3,665 | 5,577 | 3,709 | 3,323 | 3,219 |
| 85 : Health and social work | 4,289 | 5,853 | 4,847 | 4,847 | 4,977 | 6,684 | 5,155 | 4,687 | 4,562 |
| 90 : Sewage and refuse disposal | 14 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 91 : Activities of membership organisations | 421 | 373 | 445 | 445 | 536 | 536 | 508 | 461 | 449 |
| 92 : Recreational, cultural and sporting activities | 875 | 599 | 949 | 949 | 1,222 | 2,870 | 898 | 786 | 757 |
| 93: Other service activities | 341 | 302 | 412 | 412 | 444 | 964 | 427 | 383 | 371 |
| Total | 37,893 | 39,622 | 36,673 | 37,693 | 43,483 | 54,955 | 43,403 | 40,688 | 39,964 |

Source: GHK analysis, 2011

Since the 2009 report, ABI data suggests that total employment in Redditch declined in 2007 and 2008, falling from a peak of 43,489 employees in 2006 to 37,693 in 2008. This represents a decline in total employment of more than 13%, which is significantly larger than the declines experienced at the county (-3.0%) and regional (-0.8%) levels over the same period.

There have also been some significant changes to levels of employment within individual sectors within Redditch. Sectors experiencing particularly large employment declines between 2006 and 2008 include 'Other Business Activities', 'Manufacture of Rubber and Plastic Products', 'Land Transport',

and a number of other manufacturing sectors. Some of this decline has been offset by employment growth between 2006 and 2008, the most significant of which was experienced in 'Hotels and Restaurants', 'Distribution', 'Education', 'Construction' and 'Supporting Transport Activities' sectors.

The declining employment experienced in Redditch has resulted in lower projections of future employment compared to those in the 2009 report because of the lower base level of employment in 2008 (compared to 2006 ABI data) and because of more conservative projections for future employment and housing within the Borough. For example, the 2009 baseline scenario projected employment growth at an average of 0.5% per annum, adding more than 4,700 jobs between 2006 and 2026, while the latest baseline scenario is much more conservative, projecting employment growth of 0.2% per annum, adding approximately 1,900 new jobs between 2008 and 2031.

The difference between the past trend scenarios is even more significant. The three quarter trend included in the 2009 report projected average annual employment growth of 1.2%, adding 11,500 new jobs between 2006 and 2026. The latest ABI data actually projects a decline in employment of -0.1% per annum, based on a full trend between 1998 and 2008, leading to the loss of a further 1,000 jobs between 2008 and 2031. In comparison, the 'no growth' past trends scenario assumes employment in 2031 returns to 2008 levels, while employment would need to grow by an average of 0.6% per annum to return to 2006 employment levels. The pre-recession trend, which excludes the employment declines of 2007 and 2008 from the trend, is unlikely to be realistic or sustainable, projecting growth of 1.7% per annum and more than 17,000 new jobs in Redditch between 2008 and 2031.

The policy-on, housing-based scenarios are also lower than the projections in the 2009 report as a result of the employment decline between 2006 and 2008, and the reduced projections for new dwellings in the Borough. The 2009 report included two policy-on scenarios projecting 6,600 and 9,100 new dwellings respectively, compared to the lower range of between 2,510 and 6,310 used in this updated report. The projected employment growth associated with these scenarios has also fallen, from between 0.7% and 0.9% per annum in the 2009 report, to between 0.3% and 0.6% per annum in this latest update.

There are also some significant differences in the employment projections of some individual sectors, compared to the 2009 report. The largest differences are closely correlated with the sectors described above, that have experienced significant changes in employment between 2006 and 2008. For example, the employment projections in the 'Other Business Activities' sector have fallen most significantly since the 2009 report. The other sectors demonstrating lower employment projections in the new scenarios are typically concentrated in manufacturing sectors including: 'Rubber and Plastic Products', 'Basic Metals', 'Metal Products', 'Food and Beverages' and 'Publishing and Printing' sectors. Similarly, the sectors demonstrating higher employment projections in the new scenarios include: 'Education', 'Health and

Social Work', 'Manufacture of Electronics, Electrical Engineering and Instruments', 'Agriculture', 'Research and Development' and 'Utilities' sectors.

In order to convert the employment projections into floorspace requirements, the above employment projections have been translated into the same 25 sector groupings as used in the 2009 report. The employment projections associated with the new scenarios are presented in Table 2.5 for the 25 sector groups in 2031.

Table 2.5 – Employment in Selected Sector Groups

| | | | | | 20 | 31 | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 Actual | Baseline | Past Trends Scenario 1 | Past Trends Scenario 2 | Past Trends Scenario 3 | Past Trends Scenario 4 | Policy-On (A-1) | Policy-On (A-2) | Policy On (A-3) |
| M1 Food, drink, tobacco & textiles | 470 | 373 | 249 | 249 | 307 | 307 | 378 | 384 | 381 |
| M2 Printing & publishing | 291 | 257 | 70 | 113 | 183 | 183 | 259 | 251 | 250 |
| M3 Chemicals & chemical products | 437 | 285 | 439 | 439 | 439 | 439 | 442 | 431 | 428 |
| M4 Rubber & plastic products | 648 | 470 | 310 | 310 | 450 | 450 | 474 | 458 | 453 |
| M5 Wood, paper & non-metallic mineral products | 235 | 89 | 194 | 194 | 194 | 194 | 71 | 68 | 87 |
| M6 Basic metals | 454 | 193 | 201 | 201 | 265 | 265 | 196 | 184 | 181 |
| M7 Metal goods | 3,087 | 2,548 | 1,913 | 1,953 | 1,953 | 2,241 | 2,567 | 2,490 | 2,489 |
| M8 Mechanical engineering | 883 | 628 | 498 | 504 | 731 | 731 | 736 | 714 | 708 |
| M9 Electronics, electrical engineering & instruments | 1,870 | 2,841 | 1,461 | 1,461 | 1,461 | 1,481 | 2,851 | 2,804 | 2,792 |
| M10 Motor vehicles & other transport equipment | 506 | 532 | 361 | 393 | 598 | 598 | 535 | 522 | 519 |
| M11 Manufacturing nes | 602 | 430 | 763 | 928 | 1,251 | 1,819 | 434 | 419 | 414 |
| S1 Construction | 1,246 | 1,528 | 1,316 | 1,390 | 1,551 | 1,551 | 1,590 | 1,414 | 1,367 |
| \$2 Distribution | 3,140 | 3,227 | 2,777 | 2,777 | 2,944 | 3,122 | 3,381 | 3,222 | 3,185 |
| \$3 Retailing | 5,003 | 4,613 | 5,603 | 5,730 | 7,404 | 8,571 | 5,811 | 5,352 | 5,229 |
| \$4 Hotels & catering | 1,419 | 1,316 | 1,769 | 1,971 | 2,817 | 5,138 | 1,820 | 1,618 | 1,582 |
| \$5 Land transport | 1,004 | 1,107 | 1,386 | 1,386 | 1,681 | 2,828 | 1,113 | 1,088 | 1,081 |
| \$6 Communications | 752 | 642 | 464 | 484 | 591 | 591 | 672 | 653 | 648 |
| S7 Insurance, banking & finance | 451 | 416 | 393 | 588 | 748 | 748 | 585 | 574 | 571 |
| \$8 Computing services | 525 | 585 | 348 | 348 | 486 | 488 | 568 | 555 | 551 |
| S9 Professional services | 404 | 693 | 821 | 821 | 901 | 917 | 1,193 | 1,138 | 1,123 |
| \$10 Other business services | 4,243 | 5,249 | 3,716 | 3,718 | 4,105 | 4,105 | 5,275 | 5,168 | 5,140 |
| S11 Public administration & defence | 1,225 | 1,185 | 915 | 915 | 915 | 915 | 1,859 | 1,448 | 1,389 |
| \$12 Education | 2,963 | 3,427 | 3,484 | 3,484 | 3,665 | 5,577 | 3,709 | 3,323 | 3,219 |
| S13 Health & social work | 4,289 | 5,853 | 4,847 | 4,847 | 4,977 | 8,684 | 5,155 | 4,687 | 4,582 |
| S14 Other services | 1,451 | 1,287 | 1,820 | 1,820 | 2,217 | 4,385 | 1,843 | 1,641 | 1,588 |
| Total | 37,678 | 39,512 | 36,117 | 37.099 | 42,812 | 64,284 | 43,293 | 40,581 | 39,858 |

Source: GHK analysis, 2011

The consultant's transformation model has been used to apply employment moderators and then allocate employment in each sector group between the standard land use categories. Employment densities have then been applied to convert the employment projections into gross external floorspace requirements.

This is the same approach to the 2009 report although there have been minor changes to some assumptions. Firstly, where an employment group is allocated to more than one land use class, the assumptions regarding the proportion of employment within each land use class have been updated with the latest ABI data, for example to reflect the split between employment in hotels and employment in restaurants for the study area. Secondly, the employment densities in the model have been amended and updated to ensure consistency with the 2010 Employment Densities Guide (2nd Edition), developed by Drivers Jonas Deloitte.

The resulting estimates of floorspace requirements for 2031 are summarised in Table 2.6 below. Detailed tables for each individual scenario can be found at

Table 2.6 – Redditch Floorspace Requirements by Land Use Class (sq. m): Total Requirement 2031 and Change in Requirement 2008 – 2031, by Scenario

| | | H | | Redditch - | Total Floors | oace Requir | ement 2031 | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | Baseline | Past Trends 1 | Past Trends 2 | Past Trends 3 | Past Trends 4 | Policy-On A-1 | Policy-On A-2 | Policy-On A-3 |
| Al | 44,935 | 41,648 | 49,699 | 50,806 | 65,689 | 75,845 | 52,080 | 48,049 | 46,974 |
| A2 | 80,099 | 74,591 | 91,609 | 94,681 | 118,874 | 175,179 | 99,145 | 90,909 | 88,713 |
| A3 | 29,852 | 27,678 | 37,207 | 41,461 | 59,271 | 108,091 | 38,281 | 33,996 | 32,853 |
| Bla | 147,330 | 170,331 | 143,832 | 146,383 | 165,660 | 180,163 | 191,039 | 179,862 | 176,881 |
| В1Ь | 1,092 | 1,873 | 2,220 | 2,220 | 2,435 | 2,479 | 3,224 | 3,075 | 3,035 |
| Blc | 101,063 | 153,523 | 78,939 | 78,939 | 78,939 | 78,939 | 154,104 | 151,560 | 150,882 |
| B2 | 318,014 | 250,840 | 217,271 | 231,570 | 270,240 | 304,174 | 264,438 | 255,881 | 253,599 |
| B8 | 281,298 | 285,525 | 271,779 | 271,779 | 310,829 | 405,030 | 294,636 | 284,617 | 281,945 |
| CI | 5,268 | 4,884 | 6,566 | 7,317 | 10,460 | 19,075 | 6,756 | 5,999 | 5,798 |
| Total | 1,008,951 | 1,010,893 | 899,121 | 925,157 | 1,082,396 | 1,348,974 | 1,103,702 | 1,053,948 | 1,040,681 |
| | | Red | ditch – Abso | lute Chang | e in Floorsp | ace Require | ment 2008- | 2031 | |
| | 2008 | Baseline | Past Trends 1 | Past Trends 2 | Past Trends 3 | Past Trends 4 | Policy-On A-1 | Policy-On A-2 | Policy-On A-3 |
| Αl | . + | -3,287 | 4,764 | 5,871 | 20,754 | 30,910 | 7,145 | 3,114 | 2,039 |
| A2 | 46 | -5,508 | 11,510 | 14,583 | 38,775 | 95,081 | 19,046 | 10,810 | 8,614 |
| A3 | <u> </u> | -2,175 | 7,355 | 11,609 | 29,419 | 78,238 | 8,429 | 4,143 | 3,001 |
| Bla | 25 | 23,001 | -3,498 | -947 | 18,330 | 32,833 | 43,710 | 32,532 | 29,551 |
| вть | - H | 781 | 1,128 | 1,128 | 1,343 | 1,387 | 2,132 | 1,983 | 1,943 |
| Blc | 100 | 52,460 | -22,124 | -22,124 | -22,124 | -22,124 | 53,040 | 50,497 | 49,819 |
| B2 | | -67,174 | -100,744 | -86,444 | -47,774 | -13,840 | -53,576 | -62,133 | -64,415 |
| B8 | - | 4,227 | -9,519 | -9,519 | 29,531 | 123,732 | 13,338 | 3,319 | 647 |
| CI | | -384 | 1,298 | 2,049 | 5,192 | 13,807 | 1,487 | 731 | 530 |
| Total | | 1,942 | -109,830 | -83,794 | 73,445 | 340,023 | 94,751 | 44,997 | 31,729 |

Source: GHK analysis, 2011

Table 2.6 shows that the total floorspace requirements in 2031, and the change between 2008 and 2031, are consistent with the overall employment projections for each scenario. For instance, the baseline scenario projects a very slight increase in the demand for floorspace in Redditch by 2031. The past trends scenarios provide a range of floorspace projections from falling demand for floorspace under the 'full trend' and 'no growth' scenarios, to a large increase in demand under the 'prerecession trend' scenario. The three policy-on, housing-based scenarios project increasing demand for floorspace of between 32,000 and 95,000 additional sq m by 2031, which is similar to the past trends scenario based on a return to 2006 levels of employment.

The scenarios are consistent in terms of projecting declining demand for manufacturing (B2) floorspace to 2031, although there are a number of differences for the other land use classes. The baseline scenario projects

growth in demand for office (B1a), research and development (B1b), light industrial (B1c) and storage and distribution (B8), but declining demand for retail (A1), financial and professional services (A2), food and drink (A3) and hotel (C1) floorspace by 2031. However, the policy-on scenarios project growth in demand for all land use classes, except for manufacturing (B2). Finally, the past trend scenarios project declining demand for light industrial (B1c) and manufacturing (B2) floorspace, while the two scenarios projecting an overall decline in demand for floorspace also project slightly lower demand for office (B1a) and storage and distribution (B8) floorspace. The past trends scenarios project increased demand for all other land use classes by 2031.

Employment Land

The process of converting employment floorspace requirements to estimates of land has involved applying a number of key assumptions relating to churn, leakage, development plot ratios and net to gross ratios to the employment floorspace figures. We have applied the same assumptions as set out in the 2009 report to take account of these factors and these assumptions are set out in paragraphs 3.7 to 3.21 of the 2009 report.

Completions

The demand forecasts we have prepared use the 2008 ABI data as the base date and run to 2031. It is important to take account of development that has taken place in the period between 2008 to 2011, in order that the forecasts provide an up to date picture of employment land requirements. Therefore, we have subtracted total employment land completions between 2008 to 2011 from the forecasts to take account of development that has already taken place. The total completions of employment land between 2008 to 2011, by use class, are set out in Table 2.6 below.

Table 2.6 – Completions of Employment Floorspace and Land in Redditch: 2008 to 2011

| Monitoring | Emplo Comp | | | |
|------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|------|-------|
| Year | B1 | B2 | B8 | Total |
| 2008 / 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 / 10 | 0 | 2,164 | 0 | 2,164 |
| Total | 0 | 2,164 | 0 | 2,164 |
| | F | | | |
| Monitoring | | ployment Lo leted by Lai Class | | |
| Year | B1 | B2 | B8 | Total |
| 2008 / 09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2009 / 10 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.82 |
| Total | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.82 |

Source: Employment Commitments in Redditch Borough 1996 – 2010

Based on the information set out above, we have subtracted a total of 2,164 sq m (0.82ha) of B2 floorspace / land from the demand forecasts, in order to align them to 2011.

Step 8 – Quantify Employment Land Supply

Stock Analysis

The Existing available employment sites following sites will be assessed as part of this review, the sites assessments can be found at Appendix A:

New Sites:

Edward Street, Town Centre

Flow analysis

Completions

This includes take-up of planning permission granted for office, industrial and warehouse development. This can be analysed by considering the completions. They are as follows:

| 2006 – 2007 | 2007 – 2008 | 2008 – 2009 | 2009 – 2010 | 2010 – 2011 | Total |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 6 | 1.65 | No | 0.82 | 0.004 | 8.47 |
| | | completions | | | |

Losses

The following losses can also be demonstrated from 2006. In comparison to completions these losses can be considered to be significant and therefore demonstrates the particular need for Redditch to retain as much employment land as possible for economic purposes and resist applications for non- employment development on allocated employment sites.

| 2006 - 2007 | 2007 - 2008 | 2008 - 2009 | 2009 - 2010 | 2010 – 2011 | Total |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 3.38 | 0.84 | 1.84 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 6.23 |
| | | | | | |

The following commitments also contribute to the employment land portfolio. Commitments from Local Plan No.3 have been re-assessed and will be carried forward to form part of the employment land portfolio for the emerging Local Plan:

| | for employment uses in I | | order to mee | t the Strategic |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Site No. | arget for the period 1996-20 Site Name | Site Area (Ha) (Capacity) | Brownfield/ Greenfield | Status as at 31 st Oct 2011 |
| IN 15 | Woolaston Road, Park Farm (N) | 0.40 | G | Available |
| IN 19* | Studley Road, (Aeroquip), Park Farm (N) | 5.84 (part complete) | В | 1.44 Ha still available |
| IN 20 | Old Forge Drive, (BACO), Park Farm (N) | 1.32 | G | Available |
| IN 24 | Windsor Road Gas Works, Enfield | 0.90 | В | Available |
| IN 34 | Merse Road, Moons Moat (N) | 0.65 | G | Available |
| IN 37 | Bartleet Road, Washford | 0.62 | G | Available |
| IN 38 | Adj. 47/52 Heming Road, Washford | 0.22 | G | Available |
| IN 52 | Shawbank Road, Lakeside | 1.03 | G | Available |
| IN 54 | Palmers Road, Moons Moat (E) | 0.29 | G | Available |
| IN 58 | Crossgate Road, Park Farm (N) | 1.10 | G | Available |
| IN 59 | Adj. Greenlands Business Centre, Studley Road, Park Farm (N) | 0.38 | G | Available |
| IN 61 | Studley Road/ Green Lane, Park Farm (S) | 0.43 | G | Available |
| IN 67 | ADR Land at Brockhill (gross developable area) | 6.60 | G | Planning Application Approved |
| IN 69 (as this site forms part of the wider strategic site this will now form part of IN 86) | Land rear of Alexandra Hospital (B1 use only) | 2.00 | G | Available |
| IN 73 | Land at Union Street | 0.19 | G | Available |
| | 1 | | Total | 21.97 Ha |
| Planning Ap | pplications for Employmen monitorir | t Uses on non-empl ng information) | oyment sites | (April 2011 |
| Site No. | Site Name/ location | Site Area (Ha) (Capacity) | Brownfield/ Greenfield | Status as at 31 st Oct 2011 |
| 2010/044/FUL | Land At Winyates Way And Moons Moat Drive | 0.64 | G | Valid planning |

| | | | | application | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Ravensbank | 4.18 | В | Valid planning applications ³ | | | |
| | | | Total | 0.64 Ha | | | |
| | Employment Land Rev | iew Sites (from 2010 | 0 update) | | | | |
| Site No | Site Name/ location | Site Area (Ha) (Capacity) | Brownfield/ Greenfield | Status as at 31 st Oct 2011 | | | |
| - | Land at Brockhill East of Railway | 3.5 | G | Available | | | |
| - | A435 Segment 2 | 10.44 | G | Available | | | |
| UCS 7.5 | Land bound by Kingham Close and Far Moor Lane | 0.19 | G | Available | | | |
| UCS 9.19 | Land off Pipers Road | 0.19 | G | Available | | | |
| UCS 9.58 | Land Fronting Matchborough Way | 0.60 | G | Available | | | |
| | | | Total | 14.92 | | | |
| New Employme | New Employment Land Review Sites (from 2011 update) | | | | | | |
| - | Edward Street | 0.48 | В | Available | | | |
| | | | Total | 0.48 | | | |
| Total commitme | 38.01 | | | | | | |

^{*} This is subject to a live planning application which will never expire as it has been started

Numbering sites

The following sites will have the following names afforded to them for ease of reference:

| Previous Site Reference | New Site Reference |
|--|--------------------|
| 2010/044/FUL | IN 80 |
| Land at Brockhill East of Railway | IN 81 |
| A435 Segment 2 | IN 82 |
| UCS 7.5 Land bound by Kingham Close and Far Moor Lane | IN 83 |
| UCS 9.19 Land off Pipers Road | IN 84 |
| UCS 9.58 Land Fronting Matchborough Way | IN 85 |
| Land to the rear of the Alexandra Hospital Strategic Site (Inclusive of IN 69) | IN 86 |
| Edward Street | IN 87 |

Step 9 – Translate employment forecasts to land requirements

As part of this update economic forecasts and projections have been combined with a number of economic scenarios to conclude with a predication on the future employment requirements for Redditch up to 2031. The

³ Following the implementation of these two outstanding applications there will be no capacity remaining at Ravensbank Business Park to meet any of Redditch's employment needs.

20

scenarios are detailed fully in the next section. One of the scenarios forecasts how much employment land would be needed should 7,000 homes be delivered. The study looks at three housing scenarios (7,000 houses, 4,000 houses and 3,300 houses). At this stage based on other emerging evidence (the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment) the highest housing scenario is the most appropriate to use with regard to the amount of housing that will be <u>needed</u> up to 2031. To compliment this housing growth this scenario concludes that 43.53 Hectares of employment land would be needed up to 2031.

In addition there are an additional 4 scenarios that have been run which model various economic scenarios including full trend model (1998 – 2008), no growth model, return to 2006 pre-recession model and full trend excluding recession model. The most appropriate economic scenario to use at this stage would be a return to 2006 pre-recession scenario. This scenario suggests that 49.51 Ha of employment land would be needed to 2031.

Therefore using these scenarios the total amount of land needed to accommodate employment and office accommodation up to 2031 is between 50.55 Ha and 52.70 Ha. Following this conclusion it is considered that an employment land target of 51 Ha is promoted (inclusive of office space) as would meet projected need based on the most logical scenarios presented.

Step 10 – Scenario testing

Forecasts of Future Employment Land Demand

This section details the forecasts of future employment land demand based on the scenarios and assumptions. Demand forecasts for each scenario have been presented, in terms of floorspace (sq.m) and land (ha) requirements.

Baseline Scenario

The total floorspace and land requirements under the Baseline Scenario are shown in Table 3.1 below. They show a requirement of around 8.74ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 13.69ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 43ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.1 – Baseline Scenario – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 1,092 | 3,466 | 8,917 | 16,207 | 23,830 |
| B2 | 0 | 49,385 | 54,163 | 53,843 | 50,035 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 4,779 | 12,744 | 20,709 | 28,674 | 40,443 |
| Total | 0 | 55,256 | 70,374 | 83,469 | 94,916 | 122,243 |
| | | • | | | | |
| | | Land r | equiremen | nts from 200 |)8 (ha) | _ |
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 1.27 | 3.27 | 5.94 | 8.74 |
| B2 | 0.00 | 17.45 | 19.06 | 18.96 | 17.67 | 20.35 |
| B8 | 0.00 | 1.62 | 4.31 | 7.01 | 9.71 | 13.69 |
| Total | 0.00 | 19.47 | 24.65 | 29.24 | 33.31 | 42.78 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Scenario 1 – 7,000 New Homes

The total floorspace and land requirements under Scenario 1 (7,000 new homes) are shown in Table 3.2 below. They show a requirement of around 14ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 16.46ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 51ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.2 – Scenario 1 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 3,937 | 10,829 | 18,313 | 26,940 | 38,169 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 6,211 | 16,651 | 27,201 | 37,865 | 48,643 |
| Total | 0 | 15,827 | 46,232 | 77,339 | 109,702 | 144,782 |
| • | | • | • | • | | • |
| | Land requirements from 2008 (ha) | | | | | |
| | | Land 1 | requiremen | nts from 200 |)8 (ha) | |
| Use Class | 2008 | Land 1 | requiremer 2016 | nts from 200 2021 | 08 (ha) 2026 | 2031 |
| Use Class B1 | 2008 0.00 | | · · · · · · | | <u> </u> | 2031 14.00 |
| | | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | |
| В1 | 0.00 | 2011 | 2016 3.97 | 2021 6.71 | 2026 9.88 | 14.00 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Scenario 2 – 4,000 New Homes

The total floorspace and land requirements under Scenario 2 (4,000 new homes) are shown in Table 3.3 below. They show a requirement of around 11.3ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 13.41ha B8, giving an overall requirement of

around 458ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.3 – Scenario 2 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 3,119 | 8,561 | 14,476 | 21,408 | 30,807 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 5,082 | 13,610 | 22,210 | 30,883 | 39,626 |
| Total | 0 | 13,881 | 40,923 | 68,511 | 97,188 | 128,402 |
| - | | - | - | | | _ |
| | | Land r | equiremen | ts from 200 | 8 (ha) | |
| Use Class | | | | | | |
| nze Ciass | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 2016 3.14 | 2021 5.31 | 2026 7.85 | 2031 11.30 |
| | | | | | | |
| B1 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 3.14 | 5.31 | 7.85 | 11.30 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Scenario 3 – 3,200 New Homes

The total floorspace and land requirements under Scenario 3 (3,200 new homes) are shown in Table 3.4 below. They show a requirement of around 10.58ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 12.6ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 43.53ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.4 – Scenario 3 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 2,889 | 7,930 | 13,424 | 19,912 | 28,843 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 4,779 | 12,783 | 20,862 | 29,008 | 37,222 |
| Total | 0 | 13,348 | 39,465 | 66,110 | 93,817 | 124,035 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Land r | equiremer | nts from 200 | 8 (ha) | |
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1_ | 0.00 | 1.06 | 2.91 | 4.92 | 7.30 | 10.58 |
| B2 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 7.08 | 11.50 | 15.93 | 20.35 |
| В8 | 0.00 | 1.62 | 4.33 | 7.06 | 9.82 | 12.60 |
| Total | 0.00 | 5.33 | 14.31 | 23.49 | 33.05 | 43.53 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Past Trends Scenario 1 (Full Trend 1998-2008)

The total floorspace and land requirements under Past Trends Scenario 1 (Full Trend 1998-2008) are shown in Table 3.5 below. They show a requirement of around 3.07ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 12.4ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 36ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.5 – Past Trends Scenario 1 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 1,092 | 2,912 | 4,732 | 6,552 | 8,372 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 4,779 | 12,744 | 20,709 | 28,674 | 36,639 |
| Total | 0 | 11,551 | 34,408 | 57,266 | 80,123 | 102,981 |
| _ | Land requirements from 2008 (ha) | | | | | |
| | | Land 1 | requiremer | nts from 200 |)8 (ha) | |
| Use Class | 2008 | Land 1 | requiremer 2016 | nts from 200 2021 | 08 (ha) 2026 | 2031 |
| Use Class | 2008 0.00 | | · · · · · | | <u> </u> | 2031 3.07 |
| | | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | |
| B1 | 0.00 | 2011 0.40 | 2016 | 2021 1.74 | 2026 2.40 | 3.07 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Past Trends Scenario 2 (No Growth)

The total floorspace and land requirements under Past Trends Scenario 2 (No Growth) are shown in Table 3.6 below. They show a requirement of around 3.11ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 12.4ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 36ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.6 – Past Trends Scenario 2 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|------|--|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|--|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | |
| B1 | 0 | 1,092 | 2,912 | 4,732 | 6,552 | 8,490 | |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 | |
| B8 | 0 | 4,779 | 12,744 | 20,709 | 28,674 | 36,639 | |
| Total | 0 | 11,551 | 34,408 | 57,266 | 80,123 | 103,099 | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| | | Land r | equiremer | nts from 200 |)8 (ha) | | |
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | |
| B1 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 1.07 | 1.74 | 2.40 | 3.11 | |
| B2 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 7.08 | 11.50 | 15.93 | 20.35 | |
| B8 | 0.00 | 1.62 | 4.31 | 7.01 | 9.71 | 12.40 | |
| Total | 0.00 | 4.67 | 12.46 | 20.25 | 28.04 | 35.87 | |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Past Trends Scenario 3 (Return to 2006 Pre-recession Employment Total)

The total floorspace and land requirements under Past Trends Scenario 3 (Return to 2006 Pre-recession Employment Total) are shown in Table 3.7 below. They show a requirement of around 7.76ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 21.4ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 49.5ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.7 – Past Trends Scenario 3 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 1,682 | 4,826 | 8,593 | 13,545 | 21,160 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 5,891 | 17,193 | 30,426 | 45,713 | 63,217 |
| Total | 0 | 13,252 | 40,771 | 70,844 | 104,155 | 142,346 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Land r | equiremer | nts from 200 | 08 (ha) | |
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.77 | 3.15 | 4.97 | 7.76 |
| B2 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 7.08 | 11.50 | 15.93 | 20.35 |
| B8 | 0.00 | 1.99 | 5.82 | 10.30 | 15.47 | 21.40 |
| Total | 0.00 | 5.27 | 14.67 | 24.95 | 36.37 | 49.51 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Past Trends Scenario 4 (Full Trend 1998-2006 excl Recession)

The total floorspace and land requirements under Past Trends Scenario 4 (Full Trend 1998-2006 excl Recession) are shown in Table 3.8 below. They show a requirement of around 11.23ha B1, 20.35ha B2 and 50.09ha B8, giving an overall requirement of around 82ha to 2031. This takes into account the impact of churn and leakage, and completions from 2008 to 2011.

Table 3.8 – Past Trends Scenario 4 – Floorspace and Land Requirements: 2008 to 2031

| | Floorspace requirements from 2008 (sq m) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0 | 2,567 | 7,388 | 13,113 | 20,347 | 30,615 |
| B2 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,752 | 31,825 | 44,897 | 57,970 |
| B8 | 0 | 11,351 | 34,110 | 63,001 | 100,048 | 147,997 |
| Total | 0 | 19,598 | 60,250 | 107,939 | 165,292 | 236,582 |
| • | | | | | - | • |
| | | Land r | equiremer | nts from 200 |)8 (ha) | |
| Use Class | 2008 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 |
| B1 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 2.71 | 4.81 | 7.46 | 11.23 |
| B2 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 7.08 | 11.50 | 15.93 | 20.35 |
| B8 | 0.00 | 3.84 | 11.54 | 21.32 | 33.86 | 50.09 |
| Total | 0.00 | 7.44 | 21.33 | 37.64 | 57.25 | 81.67 |

Source: GVA analysis, 2011

Stage 3 'Identifying a New Portfolio of Sites'

Step 11 – Devise qualitative site appraisal criteria

This is not required.

Step 12 – Confirm existing sites to be retained or released and define gaps in portfolio

This sites that contribute towards Redditch's Employment Land Portfolio can be found on Page 19. individual site appraisals for detailed site information can be found at Appendix A.

Step 13 – Identify additional sites to be brought forward

One additional site has been identified as part of this review this is – Edward Street (Town Centre), the site appraisal information for this site can be found at Appendix A.

Step 15 – Complete and present the employment review

The following table demonstrates the conclusions of the employment land review with regard to the amount of land that is required up to 2031 and how much land is available to meet this need (taking into account completions and existing commitments.

Summary

This Employment land Review Update presents the most up to date figure with regard to the amount of employment land required to meet Redditch's needs up to 2031. it also provides the locations for where some of this need can be met.

The following table presents a summary of this information.

In addition to the 51 ha required for to meet the employment needs of Redditch up to 2031 employed uses, in accordance with the emerging Worcestershire Waste Core Strategy consultants GVA have stated that an additional 6 Ha of land would be required to accommodate the need for additional for waste facilities.

| Redditch's Employment Land Requirements | Hectares |
|--|----------------------------|
| Amount of land required up to 2031 | 51 |
| Land required for waste facilities | 6 |
| Total available employment land in the Borough (including completions and commitments from 2006) | (Completions 8.47) |
| | (Commitments 38.01) |
| | Total: 46.48 |
| Deficit | 46.48 - (51+6) = -10.52 |

It is clear from the summary table presented above there is a large lard supply issue with regard to meeting employment needs in the Borough. 10.5 additional Hectares are required to meet the predicted need which cannot be accommodated within Borough boundaries. The need to meet projected demand far outweighs land supply. Therefore a significant amount of work is needed to ensure all employment need are met up to 2031 And that the economic development of the Borough is not stunted due to an inadequate supply of available employment sites.

This Employment Land Review demonstrates the need for employment land up to 2031 however should this end date change this figure can be readily recalculated to any prescribe end date easy and to align with housing figures.

This ELR Update was written in a period of change with regard to National Planning Policy, Regional Planning Policy and Local Planning Policy and therefore the findings are subject to change in line with changes to any tier of planning policy and subsequent recommendations. In particular national government have signalled their intention to revoke Regional Spatial Strategies and therefore remove the RSS target that is currently in place therefore the next ELR Update must take this change in policy into account.

Previous ELRs have considered the findings of the Borough Councils Office needs assessment, however it is currently not clear what the national requirements are for offices due to the consultation on the National Planning Policy Framework and the current finding of The Portas Review (December 2011). Therefore the requirements for offices in the Borough will need to be considered at the next review.

It is recommended that at the next review stage due to emerging changes in Planning Policy the Borough Councillors re-consider the priority sectors in light of these changes, this recommend keeping employment land

The next review must also consider the findings of the A435 review which is currently being conducted.

Appendix A

Detailed Site Appraisals for all sites which form Redditch's Employment Land Portfolio

- IN 15 Woolaston Road, Park Farm (N)
- IN 19* Studley Road, (Aeroquip), Park Farm (N)
- IN 20 Old Forge Drive, (BACO), Park Farm (N)
- IN 24 Windsor Road Gas Works, Enfield
- IN 34 Merse Road, Moons Moat (N)
- IN 37 Bartleet Road, Washford
- IN 38 Adj. 47/52 Heming Road, Washford
- IN 52 Shawbank Road, Lakeside
- IN 54 Palmers Road, Moons Moat (E)
- IN 58 Crossgate Road, Park Farm (N)
- IN 59 Adj. Greenlands Business Centre, Studley Road, Park Farm (N)
- IN 61 Studley Road/ Green Lane, Park Farm (S)
- IN 67 ADR Land at Brockhill (gross developable area)
- IN 86 Land rear of Alexandra Hospital (B1 use only)
- IN 73 Land at Union Street
- IN 80 2010/044/FUL Land At Winyates Way and Moons Moat Drive
- IN 81 Land at Brockhill East of Railway
- IN 82 A435 Segment 2
- IN 83 UCS 7.5 Land bound by Kingham Close and Far Moor Lane
- IN 84 UCS 9.19 Land off Pipers Road
- IN 85 UCS 9.58 Land Fronting Matchborough Way
- IN 86 Land to the rear of the Alexandra Hospital Strategic Site
- **IN 87 Edward Street**

| Site Ref: IN 15 Address: Woolaston F | Road, Park Farm North Site Area: 0.40ha |
|---|--|
| | Development Control history: Numerous historic applications, most recent: 03/633 – Extension to Industrial Unit Description of Site and suitable uses: Area of grassland in the |
| | middle of existing industrial units. Relatively flat site which is suitable for employment purposes and could provide an opportunity for an extension to the current industrial estate. Adjacent land use/conflicts: Adjacent land use is industrial. Floor space in use/vacant: N/A |
| | Ownership: INTEC Strategic access: The site has direct access onto highway off Old Forge Drive. Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking can be provided on site. Site is within 400m of bus network. |
| | Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Greenfield site which is vacant. | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B2 and B8 uses due to surrounding industrial uses. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years. There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years. The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site. The site is not owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development. | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only acceptable form of built development on this site because of surrounding uses. Due to surrounding industrial uses B2 or B8 development are the most suitable. |

| Site Ref: IN 19 | Address: Studley Roa | ad (Aeroquip), Park | Site Area: 1.44ha |
|---|----------------------|---|---|
| IN19 | Farm (N) | 98/266 – 250,000ft diparking and landscaphas not been fully condition of Site afor employment purpodevelopment and resided Arrow Valley Park. Sefloor space in use/Ownership: Unknow Strategic access: Sold Forge Drive. Level of car parking provided; site is within Known constraints | anning applications, most recent: istribution centre with associated offices, car bing. This site still has planning permission which impleted. and suitable uses: Relatively flat site, suitable coses. The site is adjacent to industrial idential development. idential development to other industrial units and ome residential in close proximity. vacant: Site is in use by Pilkington. |
| Current Site Status (including plann warehouse, completed site in use by P Market Attractiveness Factors: • There is a valid permission for each the site. | lkington. | Considered to be suit Sustainable Develo | itable type of Development: table for B2 or B8 uses. pment Factors: Employment is the only uilt development on this site because of adjoining |

| Site Ref: IN 20 | dress: Old Forge Drive, Park Farm South Site Area: 1.32ha |
|-----------------|--|
| | Description of Site and suitable uses: Flat wild scrubland. B2 and B8 employment uses would be suitable. Adjacent land use/conflicts: This site is adjacent to a vacant industrial unit which could be utilised for employment purposes. Site is also adjacent to Arrow Valley Park. Floor space in use/vacant: N/A |
| | Ownership: Alcan Aluminium UK Limited Strategic access: Located off Old Forge Drive with good strategic access off A4189. Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking could be provided; site is located 400m away from bus route. Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Site is located in Flood zone 2 and 3a. 3 (Broad ground ditch runs through site). New Town TPO No.24. Land affected by significant contamination. Biodiversity: If progressed comments should be sought from the Councils Biodiversity Officer as the site may require some mitigation |

Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Greenfield,

designated employment site.

measures.

Market appraisal/suitable type of Development:

Considered to be suitable for B2 and B8 uses.

Market Attractiveness Factors

- The site has been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years.
- There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years.
- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development.
- The site is in single ownership by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development.
- There is not a valid permission for employment development on the site.

Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only acceptable form of built development on this site because of adjoining uses. Due to adjoining use B2 and B8 are considered to be the most suitable employment uses.

| Site Ref: IN 24 Address: Windsor Roa | ad Gas Works Site Area: 0.90 ha |
|---|---|
| | Development Control history: 02/004 – o/l res. development 06/484 – Reserved Matters – 146 units with associated infrastructure, parking and landscaping 06/541 – Reserved Matters for 109 residential units, associated infrastructure and landscaping |
| | Description of Site and suitable uses: The site is slightly undulating. Considered to be suitable for B1 and B2 uses. Adjacent land use/conflicts? Adjacent to other industrial units. The site is also adjacent to residential development. |
| | Floor space in use/vacant: N/A Ownership: Homes and Communities Agency Strategic access: The site has access onto strategic highway (A441) off Windsor Road (B4184). Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking could be provided on site; site is within 400m of a bus route. Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Currently undeveloped brownfield site, designated for employment use. The site is currently being used to store waste and for parking for adjacent sites. | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B1 and B2 uses. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years. There has been no development activity on the site in the last | Sustainable Development Factors: The site is considered to be a sustainable location for B1 or B2 employment uses. |

5 years.

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is owned by an agency known to undertake employment development.
- The site is in single ownership by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

| Site Ref: IN34 Address: Merse Road | , North Moons Moat Site Area: 0.65ha |
|--|--|
| · | Development Control history: N/A |
| | Description of Site and suitable uses: Greenfiled site, flat, forms |
| | extension to Industrial Estate. |
| | Adjacent land use/conflicts? Adjacent to other employment uses |
| | (industrial). The site also includes a car park which is use by an |
| | adjacent site but it is underutilised, there may be the potential to utilise |
| | this land to form a larger employment opportunity. |
| | Floor space in use/vacant: N/A |
| | |
| | Ownership: The Panther Property Company |
| | Strategic access: Accessed of the A4023, Moons Moat Drive, Merse |
| A STATE OF THE STA | Road secondary access could be taken from Colemeadow Road |
| MARKAPINE CONTRACTOR | which is wider and avoids parking issues on Merse Road. |
| The state of the s | Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking can be provided |
| | at this location, the site is within 400m of a bus route. |
| | Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site |
| | constraints checklist): New Town TPO No.8. Contaminated land – |
| W. Challer St. March St. Co. Co. | Requires site investigation |
| | |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Greenfield | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Suitable for B1, B2 |
| site with any Planning Permission that has been granted now expired. | and B8 uses. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only |
| The site has been formally identified for employment for at | acceptable form of built development for this site because of adjoining |
| least 10 years. | uses. |
| Planning application 06/385 was approved on 14/9/06 for | |
| partial development of the site (approx. 1/3 rd). | |
| The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site. | |
| The site is not owned by a developer or other agency known to | |

| | undertake employment development. | |
|---|---|--|
| • | The site is in multiple ownership. | |
| • | There is a valid planning permission covering approx. 1/3 rd of the site. | |
| | | |

| Site Ref: IN 37 | Address: Bartleet Roa | ad, Washford Site Area: 0.62ha | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| | | Development Control history: No history. | |
| | | Description of Site and suitable uses: Greenfield, flat site | |
| | | considered to be suitable for B1 and B8 uses. | |
| | | Adjacent land use/conflicts? Adjacent to industrial employment | |
| | | uses. The site is also adjacent to residential development. | |
| | | Floor space in use/vacant: N/A | |
| | | Ownership: Brightside Property Limited/Halfords | |
| H A A A A | | Strategic access: Access off A435, Bartleet Road - good strategic | |
| THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY | 4990 | access. | |
| | | Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking could be | |
| | | incorporated; site is within 400m of a bus route. | |
| | | Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site | |
| | | constraints checklist): Warwick TPO No.6. Contaminated land: | |
| | ALL DOMESTICS | Requires Site Investigation. | |
| Current Site Status (including planning police | v history). | Market appraisal/quitable type of Davelonment, Capaidered to be | |
| Current Site Status (including planning polic Undeveloped. Allocated for employment use. | y mstory). | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B2 and B8 uses. | |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only | |
| The site has been formally identified for | employment use for at | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| least 10 years. | | uses. | |
| There has been no development activity | on the site within the | | |
| last 5 years. | | | |
| The site is not being actively marketed a | | | |
| The site is not owned by a developer or | other agency known to | | |
| undertake employment development. | | | |
| The site is in single ownership by an org | anisation unlikely to | | |

| bring it forward for development. There is no valid permission for employment development on | |
|---|--|
| the site. | |

| Site Ref: IN 38 | Address: He | eming Road, opposite unit 52 Site Area: 0.22ha | |
|-----------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | Development Control history: 90/320 – C.O.U. from warehouse to light industrial 91/208 – Development of B2 and B8 purposes 91/417 – Development for B1 purpose | |
| | | Description of Site and suitable uses: Small site, relatively suitable for small employment use, but not offices (B1). The soccupied by electricity substation on the lower portions of the | ite is |
| | MBU | Adjacent land use/conflicts? Adjacent to industrial units. All some residential in surroundings, which is buffered by tree placents by the space in use/vacant: N/A | |
| | | Ownership Diservitin Limited | |





Ownership: Bluepuffin Limited Strategic access: Accessed off A435, Claybrook Drive, Hemina Road.

Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking could be provided; site is in close proximity to bus route.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Access is a slight issue in area, as there are a number of HGVs which park on main road, therefore potential access capacity is an issue. This would need further consideration before the site is developed.

Warwick TPO No.5. Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be

suitable for B2 and B8 uses, but not offices (B1).

Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Undeveloped, no planning permission.

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- The site has been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years.
- There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years.

Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only acceptable form of built development on this site because of adjoining uses.

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is owned by a property company who may undertake employment development.
- The site is in single ownership by an organisation who may bring it forward for development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

| Site Ref: IN 52 Add | dress: Shawbank Road, Lakeside | Site Area: 1.03 Ha |
|--|--|---|
| | Development | : Control history: N/A |
| N521 | some trees ac use. Site is als Adjacent land (industrial) | of Site and suitable uses: Site is relatively flat, with diacent to site; and in an ideal location for employment so opposite Arrow Valley Park. d use/conflicts: Adjacent to employment uses n use/vacant: N/A |
| | (A4129) via H Level of car p provided on si Known const | Unknown ess: Site has direct access onto highway network colloway Drive, Shawbank road. parking/public transport: Car parking could be te, and site is situated close to a bus route. traints or infrastructure requirements (see site thecklist): No known constraints. |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy hi | | isal/suitable type of Development: This site is |
| employment site. Greenfield site. | • | be a suitable employment site (B1, B2 or B8 uses). |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has not been formally identified for effor at least 10 years. | employment use Employment is | Development Factors: s the only acceptable form of built development on this of adjoining uses. |
| There has been no development activity on t last 5 years. | the site within the | |
| The site is not being actively marketed as an | . , | |
| The site is not owned by a developer or othe undertake employment development. | | |
| The site is in single ownership by an organis | ation unlikely to | |

| bring it forward for development. The site is likely to be retained for expansion room. | |
|--|--|
| There is no valid permission for employment development on the site. | |

| Site Ref: IN 54 | Address: Palmers R | Road, East Moons Moat. | Site Area: 0.29ha |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | | Development Control 86/414 – Proposed ext with hospitality flat 87/370 – Factory and of factory and offices 98/511 – New factory (Description of Site and shape with stream runn employment purposes, employment uses, Adjacent land use/con Floor space in use/va | ension to existing factorise extension also extension also extension also extension also extension extensions. Suitable for extension extensions also extensions. |
| | | Ownership: Rescroft L | |
| | | Strategic access: The network subject to curr | site could have direc |





Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Allocated employment site (Greenfield).

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- The site has not been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years.
- There has been no development activity on the site within the

on to existing factory and offices together

extension also extension to existing

itable uses: Relatively flat site, triangular alongside. Considered to be suitable for able for extensions to existing adjacent

ts? Site is within industrial estate.

could have direct access to the highway estate road being extended to access the site.

Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking could be provided on site. Site is located on a bus route.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Site does not have road access; the estate road would need to be extended over a stream.

New Town TPO No.7.

Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation.

Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B1, B2 and B8 uses.

Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only acceptable form of built development on this site because of adjoining uses.


last 5 years.

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development.
- The site is in single ownership by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

| | dress: Land adjacent to Crossgate depot, rk Farm North |
|--|---|
| · | Development Control history: N/A |
| | Description of Site and suitable uses: Overgrown with shrubbery, |
| (1/20) | slightly sloping. Considered to be suitable for employment purposes. |
| | Adjacent land use/conflicts: Adjacent to other employment uses. |
| | Floor space in use/vacant: N/A |
| | Ownership: Unknown |
| | Strategic access: Site has direct access onto road network via |
| TO NEW MARKET THE PARTY OF THE | Crossgate Road. |
| | Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking can be provided |
| | on site and site is within 800m of bus interchange. |
| | Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site |
| | constraints checklist): Slight differing topography. |
| | New Town TPO No.19. |
| AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O | Dartially in Flood Zone O |
| | Partially in Flood Zone 2. |
| | Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy h | |
| site with no planning permission. | suitable for B2 and B8 uses, but not B1 (due to surrounding uses). |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is the only |
| The site has not been formally identified for | employment use acceptable form of built development on this site because of adjoining |
| for at least 10 years. | uses. |
| There has been no development activity on last 5 years. | the site within the |

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development.
- The site is in single ownership by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

| Site Ref: IN59 | Address: Adjacent Greenlands Business | Site Area: 0.38ha |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Centre, Studley Road | |






2001/293: Two Storey Office Development (Expired)

Description of Site and suitable uses: Flat site, adjacent to existing business centre and residential development. Site is considered suitable for employment purposes.

Adjacent land use/conflicts: Employment and residential units surround the site.

Floor space in use/vacant: N/A



Strategic access: Site has direct access onto highway network.

There is potential access from Greenlands

Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking can be provided on site. The site is also adjacent to bus network. Potentially access to the site could be incorporated to the rear of the site.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Vehicles accessing the site will need to consider surrounding built up area. This may affect the form of employment development suitable for this site.

Contaminated land: Requires site investigation.


Development of this site must be sensitive to surrounding residential development.



| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Granted planning permission; no commencement (Greenfield site). | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: B1 is the most suitable use. There is also potential for the extension from existing unit. |
|---|--|
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has not been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years. The site is not currently being actively marketed as an employment site. The site was actively marketed from December 2000 – June 2006. The site is not owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development. The site is in single ownership by an organisation who may bring it forward for development. | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is not the only acceptable form of built development on this site as it is adjacent to residential developments. |

| | Address: Studley Roa Farm (S) | d/ Green Lane, Park | Site Area: 0.43 Ha |
|--|---|--|--|
| IN61 | | road 05/012 – B1 units, as | bl history: class B2/B8 industrial/storage units and access sociated car parking and access road r parking and access road |
| | | agricultural building. | and suitable uses: Field containing derelict onflicts: Industrial and agricultural land use is |
| | | Floor space in use/v | |
| | | Ownership: Unknown Strategic access: Sin Studley road. | n. te has direct access to highway network via |
| A SECRETARY OF THE PARTY OF THE | | | /public transport: Car parking can be vithin 800m of a bus interchange. |
| | | Known constraints | or infrastructure requirements (see site st): The site has a right of access to the |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy employment site with valid planning permission, runderway. (Greenfield) | | Market appraisal/sui employment developr | itable type of Development: Site is suitable for ment. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: • The site is not currently being actively ma employment site. | rketed as an | Sustainable Develor of employment develor | pment Factors: Site would be suitable for form opment. |

Site Ref: IN 67 Address: Land at Brockhill Site Area: 6.60ha





2011/177: Mixed use development of 171 dwellings, public open space and outline application for 4,738 square metres of Class B1 (Business) floorspace and access

Description of Site and suitable uses: This site is Greenfield prominent gateway site designated for employment purposes. In particular due to its gateway location B1 office use is considered to be the most suitable type of employment use for this site. There is potential to for a landmark B1 building on this prominent location. The site is clearly visible from the railway entering into Redditch and therefore this gateway location should be maximised. The lower part of Batchley Brook forms part of the site and this must be a consideration ahead of any development on the site. There are slight topographical differences on site which must also be considered.

Adjacent land use/conflicts? Potential housing site on adjacent Area of Development Restraint (ADR) land; other employment uses adjacent and housing. In the development of this site there would need to be a buffer around the site.



Ownership: Hawker Siddeley Properties Limited / Ideal Homes Midlands Ltd. RPS are the agents for this site.

Strategic access: The site currently has the potential for good strategic access as part of the wider site development; which could be worked in to any potential scheme. This site has good access from public transport walking and cycling (Transport Assessment, 2011). The site is in close proximity to the A441.

Level of car parking/public transport: Car parking can be provided, and the site is within a bus network.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist):






| | Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. Biodiversity: If progressed comments should be sought from the Councils Biodiversity Officer as the site may require some mitigation measures. |
|---|---|
| | Constraint of the Batchley Brook. |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Planning permission approved in 2011 for employment development on this site. | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B1 uses, may be suitable for other uses which must be compatible with potential adjacent residential uses. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site. The site is not owned by a developer or agency known to undertake employment development. The site is in multiple ownership. There is valid permission for part of the employment development on the site. The site has potential for good strategic access onto the road network. | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is considered to be the most sustainable use of this site, in particular B1 employment development. |

| Site Ref: IN 73 | ddress: Land at Union Street | Site Area: 0.19 Ha |
|---|--|--|
| | 99/210 – DIY ware Description of Site form extension to a Adjacent land us | ery renewal storage of cars ehouse te and suitable uses: Site is slightly sloping. Could existing industrial units located at IN 42. se/conflicts: Site is located adjacent to existing I 42) and a residential area. |
| | residential roads h possible via existir Level of car park provided on site; tl Known constrain constraints chec access must be ta the type of use on | : Current access to be taken via surrounding nowever, access to the highway network would be ng IN 42 ing/public transport: Car parking could be he site is well served by bus and rail transport. Into or infrastructure requirements (see site eklist): Due to lack of direct strategic access all aken from local residential roads, this would restrict the site. Should access be amended to be taken via crease opportunities of the type of development |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy himplemented employment site (Greenfield). | be the most suitab | /suitable type of Development: B1 is considered to ble employment use due to close proximity to ties and current lack of strategic access. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has not been formally identified for for at least 10 years. Part of the site is being actively marketed. The ownership of the site is unknown. | employment use Sustainable Deve residential develop | elopment Factors: The site is situated between a pment and an industrial area. Due to close proximity erties B1 development would be preferable. |

| Site Ref: IN 80 | Address: Land At Winyates Way And Moons | Site Area: 0.64 |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| | Moat Drive | |



Development Control history: The site is subject to a live planning application:

2010/044/FUL: Erection of three general industrial units (B2) with associated offices, car parking and service yard

Description of Site and suitable uses: The site is located within Moons Moat which is a predominately industrial area and is surrounded by industrial land. The site is bound to the North by Winyates Way and to the South by Moons Moat Road, which runs parallel to the A4023, Coventry Highway.

Due to its located and surrounding uses, should the current application expire this site will be retained for employment uses only.

Adjacent land use/conflicts: The site is mainly surrounded by employment land. There is some open space located to the west of the site.

Floor space in use/vacant: N/A

Ownership: Pestbusters (midlands) Ltd

Strategic access: As part of the current planning application, access is proposed from the north eastern boundary along Winyates Way. The site is in very close proximity to access the highway network.

Level of car parking/public transport: The site is located within easy access (200m) of the 57 and 58 bus routes.

Car parking can be provided on site.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements: No known constraints or significant infrastructure requirements associated with the development of this site.

Market appraisal/suitable type of Development:


This site is ideally located for employment uses. The live planning



Current Site Status (including planning policy history): The site is not designated in Local Plan No.3 and is currently vacant

| and overgrown. | application proposes B2 employment development which is a suitable |
|---|--|
| | use for this site. |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | Sustainable Development Factors: |
| This site is subject to a live planning application which proposes 0.64 | Due to locates and surrounding uses, including the highway network |
| Ha opf B2 employment development. | this site is suitable for employment development. |

Address: Eastern section of IN 67 Site Ref: IN 81 Site Area: 3.5 ha



Development Control history:

Current Planning Application 2011/270: for Residential development of up to 220 dwellings with associated open space and access arrangements - Outline application yet to be determined.

Application for Bordesley bypass was approved but has now expired.

Description of Site and suitable uses: The site is Greenfield located on the edge of the Borough boundary. The site runs parallel to the Redditch – Birmingham train line to the east of the site and the A441 to the west of the site. The site is designated as a Area of Development Restraint and contains a road reserve (Local Plan No.3). The site is considered to be suitable for employment purposes. In particular due to its prominent gateway location, B1 is considered to be the most appropriate as an opportunity could be sought for a landmark building to form a strong gateway location into the Borough. It is considered that the employment development can be part of a mixed use opportunity only if the road reserve land is not required in its entirety.

Adjacent land use/conflicts: A number of uses surround this site including - residential units, agricultural land, an existing business park, the adjoining ADR, the Abbey Stadium, railway line (which has planned improvements) and Bromsgrove Green Belt to the north of the site.

Floor space in use/vacant: N/A

Ownership: Gallagher Estates

Strategic access: Accessed off the A441

Level of car parking/public transport: Can be provided on site, bus stop is located adjacent to site.



Known constraints or infrastructure requirements: Weights Lane (which runs to the north of the site) is not suitable for a high level of traffic and therefore may need infrastructure improvements or an alternative access provided.

Topography of site is a slight issue and would need to be considered in the development of the site. Site is a gateway site and therefore employment uses should be designed to reflect this.

Contaminated land – Requires site investigation.

The site is a key gateway location into the Borough and therefore there is potential to have a visual impact on the wider landscape, this must be carefully managed.

Other constraints that must be considered in the development of this site include the location of gas pipeline, location of railway, location of septic tank and noise implications from development of the site.

Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: B1, B2 and B8 uses. However due to gateway location B1 use is preferable due to design opportunity. It is considered that the employment development can be part of a mixed use opportunity only if the road reserve land is not required in its entirety.

Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Designated as an ADR – with a road reserve

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- The site has been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years.
- There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years.
- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or another agency known

Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is considered to be an acceptable form of built development on this site.

to undertake employment development. The site is owned by a single organisation that is unlikely to bring it forward for development. The site is likely to be

There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

retained for expansion room.

| | Address: A435 Area of Develo | oment Site A | Area : 10.44ha |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Descriplanted Adjace west, west bour The sit Green | rather than natural ver nt land use/conflicts' ith some housing to the ded by the A435 to the e is bounded to the eas | able uses: Flat site, with predominantly getation. ? Predominantly employment to the e east (Mappleborough Green). The site e east and Claybrook Drive to the west. st and south by the Stratford-Upon-Avon |
| | | ic access: Adjacent to ok Drive. The site has but would need improve be developed (Transp f car parking/public to d on site. Site is also a | ommunities Agency 2) Mr & Mrs D.L. D A435, and could be accessed off good access via public transport and vements to walking accessibility should port Assessment, 2011) Iransport: Car parking could be adjacent to bus route. Istructure requirements (see site |
| | constr – Requ Develo | aints checklist): Warvires site investigation. | vick New Town TPO. Contaminated land |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy Area of Development Restraint | proxim be the | ty to residential develo most suitable employm | pe of Development: Due to close pment B1 development is considered to lent use. Landscaping and buffering evelopment from adjacent properties. |

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years.
- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

Sustainable Development Factors: The site is considered to be suitable for employment development, in particular B1 development.

| | ddress: Land bound by Ki ar Moor Lane | ngham Close and | Site Area: 0.19 |
|---|--|------------------------|---|
| <u> </u> | Dev | elopment Control | history: No history |
| * () | | | d suitable uses: Flat site with foliage. Suitable |
| & M/2\\ (=) \fi\) =E | | employment purpose | _ |
| | | | nflicts? Residential development located to |
| | | | s) opposite. Adjacent to Ipsley Brook. |
| | | or space in use/vac | |
| | | | |
| | Ow | nership: Redditch E | Borough Council |
| | Stra | ategic access: Acce | ess is an issue, accessed from residential area |
| | | | suitable. Although site is located in close |
| | | | ndabout, if taken forward comments should be |
| A TAIL SEA | | | to ascertain suitable access to site. |
| | | | ublic transport: Could be provided on site. |
| | | | infrastructure requirements (see site : Access. New Town TPO No.13. |
| | Rio | divorcity: If progress | sed comments should be sought from the |
| | | | fficer as the site may require some mitigation |
| | | asures. | moor as the site may require some mitigation |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): No current | | | able type of Development: Considered to be |
| designation. | | | se due to close proximity to residential |
| | | elopment. | , |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | Sus | stainable Developm | nent Factors: |
| There has been no development activity or last 5 years. | n the site within the The | site is considered s | suitable for employment development. |

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- The site is not owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

| Site Ref: IN 84 | Address: Land off Pipers Road | Site Area: 0.19ha (revised site size – just under half of site has been developed) |
|--|--|---|
| Table to the second sec | Associated External value 2002/491 – Secure Cand cartons (approve Description of Site and grass with some vegon Remaining portion of purposes. Considere space. | ol history: Ission – Extension to existing Warehouse and works (approved 24/04/2008) Covered Area for the storage of empty containers and 20/12/2002) and suitable uses: Slight gradient, maintained etation. Half of site has been developed. Is site is considered suitable for employment and most suitable for extension to existing industrial conflicts? Heavy industry |
| | Ownership: Unknow Strategic access: G to A435, or A4189 via Level of car parking Known constraints constraints checklis congestion. Site is slightly sloping development of the s | cood strategic access, as site is in close proximity at the B4497. g/public transport: Could be provided on site. or infrastructure requirements (see site st): Car parking in area is poor and causes g, this would need to be considered in the |
| Current Site Status (including planning policy Designated employment land. | history): Market appraisal/su | litable type of Development: Half of the site has naining half is considered to be suitable for B2 |

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

Sustainable Development Factors:

The site is considered to be suitable for B2 or B8 employment development, in particular this site could be used to form an extension to existing, surrounding industrial premises.

| Site Ref: IN 85 | Address: L | and Fronting Matchborough Way Site Area: 0.60ha |
|--|--|--|
| | Development Control history: No relevant history | |
| 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | Description of Site and suitable uses: Flat site, covered in mature |
| 12 July 3 | | planted trees. Considered to be suitable for employment purposes. |
| | | Adjacent land use/conflicts? Industrial units. |
| | Floor space in use/vacant: N/A | |
| | | Ownership: Redditch Borough Council |





Strategic access: Good access to site, located on B4497, which can be accessed directly from the A435 and A4189.

Level of car parking/public transport: Could be provided on site Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Warwick TPO No.7.

Falls within Flood Zone 2.

Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation.

Biodiversity: If progressed comments should be sought from the Councils Biodiversity Officer as the site may require some mitigation measures.

If this site is developed for B8 uses then the requirements for a turning circle should be considered.

Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Designated as Primarily Open Space.

Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: Considered to be suitable for B1, B2 and B8 uses. In particular due to surrounding industrial units B2 or B8 employment development would be the most suitable.

Market Attractiveness Factors:

- There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years.
- The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site.
- There is no valid permission for employment development on the site.

Sustainable Development Factors:

This site is considered suitable for employment development, in particular due to surrounding industrial uses B2 or B8 would be preferable.

Site Ref: IN 86 Address: Land to the Rear of the **Alexandra Hospital Strategic Site** Site Area: 2 Ha

Purple Section: IN69 (designated employment site) Red Line Red Line: extent of strategic site



Description of Site and suitable uses: Site is slightly sloping and is predominantly grassland; however this does not appear to be a major issue. Employment portion of the Strategic Site is considered suitable for B1 office use (in particular use which is complimentary to the adjoining hospital function), the remaining portion of the site is suitable for residential development.

Adjacent land use/conflicts? Site is adjacent to residential uses, a major hospital and residential areas. It is also adjacent to Stratford-Upon-Avon Green Belt.

Floor space in use/vacant: N/A



Ownership: Redditch Borough Council and Secretary of State for Health

Strategic access: Site has access to highway network (A441) via Woodrow Drive. This site has very good access from cycling and walking and excellent access from public transport (Transport Assessment, 201)

Level of car parking/public transport: Could be provided on site. Car parking can be provided and the site is situated nearby the bus routes. Access from Nine Days Lane off Woodrow Drive.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): New Town TPO No.27. Biodiversity: If progressed comments should be sought from the Councils Biodiversity Officer as the site may require some mitigation measures.

There are access issues that will need addressing on the site. The site has prominent landscape features and lies adjacent to a Site of

| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): IN 69 Forms part of wider strategic site. Part of site is Primarily Open Space Non-implemented site designated for employment land. | Special Scientific Interest. Contaminated land: Requires Site Investigation. Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: The site is considered to be most suitable for office use (B1) in particular uses compatible and ancillary to adjacent hospital. |
|---|---|
| Market Attractiveness Factors: The site has not been formally identified for employment use for at least 10 years. There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years. The site is not being actively marketed. The site is not owned by a developer or agency known to undertake employment development. The site is in multiple ownership. There is no valid permission for employment development on the site. | Sustainable Development Factors: Employment is not the only acceptable form of built development on this site; it is considered employment development would be suitable on IN 69 with the remaining strategic site being suitable for residential development. |

Site Ref: IN 87 Address: Edward Street Site Area: 0.48ha



Development Control history:

2010/288/DEM: Demolition Notification for Clive Works, Broxwood House and adjacent buildings.

Description of Site and suitable uses: Previous industrial site. Suitable for employment uses

Adjacent land use/conflicts? Site located adjacent to Redditch Train Station near to western fringe of the Town Centre. Bounded by railway station and car parking to the east, residential units on the opposite side to Bromsgrove Road to the south and modern industrial units to the west and north.

Floor space in use/vacant: Part of site is currently in use for employment purposes.



Strategic access: Bromsgrove Road and Edward Street. Vehicular access to the site should be taken from the existing access off Edward Street, Located next to Train Station and Bus Station

Level of car parking/public transport: Located next to train station and bus station. Car parking potential depending on use of the site and type of development.

Known constraints or infrastructure requirements (see site constraints checklist): Adjacent land uses could be a constraint. Potential of contaminated land. Visual aspects from Railway Line could be a constraint as this site is a prominent visual gateway location, this is also an opportunity and should be maximised through good design.

The topography of the area allows for important views of the site to be seen from a number of locations and therefore this prominent gateway location should be fully utilised and designed accordingly.



| Current Site Status (including planning policy history): Site designated as a development site in Local Plan No.3 (Policy E(EMP).5 safeguarded for primarily employment use. | Market appraisal/suitable type of Development: The site is allocated as a primarily employment site. Due to its gateway location the site is best suited to a B1 use. |
|---|---|
| Over 40 previous planning applications on site ranging from temporary buildings to signage. | |
| Market Attractiveness Factors: | Sustainable Development Factors: |
| There has been no development activity on the site within the last 5 years. The site is not being actively marketed as an employment site. There is no valid permission for employment development on the site. | This site is in a very suitable location and should be utilised for employment uses, in particular B1 development would be the most appropriate. |